

**Updates agreed following Time-limited Inclusion Grant Funding Panel One**

<b>Discussion points</b>		<b>Decisions/Actions</b>
1	Could the child's date of birth be included? This is useful for making a judgement when colleagues use EYFS?	Date of birth added to the anonymised section of the application form
2	In the Banding Document, under KS2 in Cognition and Learning, it notes they are likely to be working at 3–4-year group below. That means a child in year 3 would be working at no higher than reception level. I am wondering if this a bit harsh for band three. A child working within the Year 1 curriculum will find accessing the Year 3 curriculum difficult as they will not have the language skills needed, for example. The amount of content and complexity increases rapidly at KS2. I am also wondering if we need have other examples in there of what a "band 3" learner would like to include the use of other metrics away from curriculum data.	We currently need to work with the coproduced mainstream descriptors of need.  However, this will be considered when they are reviewed.
3	Making a judgement was difficult as the desired impact of the interventions requested for the individual child were not clear. Should the heading of that table be clarified?	The application form has changed to clarify need for detail of impact of current strategies and future interventions. Panel agreed schools can append APDR, but this will need to be redacted so the information remains anonymous.
4	Do we need a box to tick to say if this is a group application?	A tick box for group applications has been added to the application form
5	Rather than asking for data from the Oct Census (last page), would it be more worthwhile asking for current contextual data, as needs may have changed?	The application form has been amended to make clear current school data or census data can be added to the form.
6	Within this process, is there a procedure to ensure equality across the schools so that is not dependent on staffing levels in certain institutions? I am worried that smaller schools will always be victims, where SENDCo capacity is always lower	Discussed, this is difficult to put into practise, but will monitor during the pilot and revisit.
7	Discussion whether cases had to lie in Band 3 currently to be considered for TIG.	Panel decided there is a need to ensure cases are within Band 3 and not move away from this or the process is not equitable
8	During the meeting Panel members discussed practise in their own school, ideas, and suggestions for good practise and this is welcome.	Panel suggestions re practise are just suggestions as the schools' individual circumstances are not known.

9	The discussion held in the meeting was useful and of value from a CPD perspective, therefore it was suggested schools should be present during the discussion to hear the discussion. Another LA version of TIG, which some Heads had previously attended. Schools making applications had 5 minutes to present and remained present, to hear the Panel discussion and this was a rich and valuable part of the process.	Panel agreed Schools will have 5 mins to present their case and will then remain on mute unless the Chair invites them to clarify a point.
10	Administrator suggested it was within Panel's gift to offer something different to what was being requested if they collectively felt this would be helpful.	Panel members decided that they need to reply to the school's actual request, e.g., if they make multiple applications treat as multiple applications. If Panel feel there is a case to look at the cases differently, Panel can make suggestions and invite a resubmission.
11	Do we need a permanent Chair for continuity. Clark suggested a Vice-Chair to cover the Chair's absence.	Panel appointed a Chair and Vice-Chair
12	Where a piece of information is missing, but school can provide that evidence, does the case have to wait 6 weeks or more for the next Panel date.	Panel agreed a mini panel including the Chair, Vice-chair and LA rep could look missing, but available information to prevent the need to wait 6 weeks to the following Panel date